IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 25 OF 2006

THE INDIAN INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTS, GOA CHAPTER, AND 6 ORS., ...Petitioners

Versus

THE STATE OF GOA THROUGH ITS CHIEF SECRETARY AND 5 ORS., ...Respondents

Mr. F. Sorabjee and Mr. N.M. Seervai, Senior Advocates with Mr. V. A. Lawande, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. S.S. Kantak, Ag With Ms. R. Chodankar, Aga for the Respondents.

Coram:- R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR & N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 20th February, 2006

P.C.:

The learned Advocate General states that though the impugned Ordinance was issued by the Government, it has never been acted upon nor the Government wants to act upon it, nor it will be converted into a statutory provision. The learned Advocate General also states that in any case the Ordinance will cease to operate after five weeks in view of the fact that the same was placed before the Assembly and has not been acted upon. We accept the said statements and in view of the said statements, the learned Advocate for the petitioners craves leave to withdraw the petition, with liberty to file a fresh petition if the occasion arises. Accordingly the petition is allowed to be withdrawn with the said liberty.

While accepting the said statement on behalf of the petitioners, the petition stands disposed of. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on any of the issues sought to be raised in the matter.

R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

sl.